

**Henry Allen & Son**  
FURNISHING  
UNDERTAKERS  
88 Main Street.  
LADY ASSISTANT WHEN REQUESTED.

**Tired Aching Feet**  
Instantly relieved by wearing the  
**HAPPYFOOT INSOLES.**  
It's like walking on velvet.  
10 cents a pair.  
**N. D. Sevin & Son**

**OPEN HOUSE CLUB.**

**HOT LUNCH**  
35 Cents—12 till 2 o'clock

**Menu for Wednesday, June 16.**

POTAGE PARMENTIER  
VEAL CHOPS  
NEW SPINACH  
POTATOES AU GRATIN  
LETTUCE SALAD  
CARAMEL CUSTARD  
COFFEE

June 16

**Plate Glass Mirrors**  
Stock sizes from 9 inch x 12 inch to 14 inch x 24 inch. Can cut to any size to fit frames.

**Also German Shocks.**  
We have also a few very handsome Framed Mirrors in stock at a very low figure.

**FRED C. CROWELL'S,**  
87 Water Street.  
Open Saturday evenings till 8 p. m.  
June 16

**Lightning Exterminator**  
A sure and speedy death to Bed Bugs, Roaches, Water Bugs, Ants, Etc.  
25 Cents at  
**DUNN'S PHARMACY,**  
50 Main Street.  
May 24

**WOLF**

**BIRD CAGES!**  
All sizes of Brass and Painted Bird Cages, Baths, Seed and Water Cups, Nests, Springs, Hooks, Gravel, Etc.

**MRS. EDWIN FAX, Franklin Square**  
June 16

**The Fanning Studios,**  
31 Willow St.

**Showing this week**  
**MUSLIN and LACE CURTAINS**  
Special value and very fine designs.  
Wall Papers, Carpets, Shades, Furniture, Wood Floors.  
May 24

**CHIROPY and MANICURE**  
Treatment of Corns a specialty. Also Hairdressing and Shampooing. Puffs, Brushes and Pomades made from your own combings.  
**MRS. B. BURTON,**  
Chapman Block, Broadway.  
June 16

**Delivered to Any Part of Norwich**  
The Ale that is acknowledged to be the best on the market—HANLEY'S PEERLESS. A telephone order will receive prompt attention.  
**D. J. McCormick,** 30 Franklin St.  
May 24

**DR. CHAS. H. LAMB,**  
VETERINARIAN  
Office at Hodge's Stable, Bath Street, House 15 Town St. Telephone 618-5.

**THE BULLETIN.**  
Norwich, Wednesday, June 16, 1909.

**THE WEATHER.**  
Forecast for Today.

For New England: Fair Wednesday and Thursday; light to moderate west winds.

Predictions from the New York Herald: On Wednesday fair and slightly cooler weather will prevail, with light northwesterly winds, and on Thursday fair to partly overcast weather, with slight temperature changes.

Observations in Norwich.  
The following records, reported from Sevin's pharmacy, show the changes in temperature and the barometric changes Tuesday:

	Ther. Bar.
7 a. m.	65 30.05
12 m.	76 30.08
6 p. m.	70 30.10
Highest 81.	Lowest 55.

Comparisons.  
Predictions for Tuesday: Fair and cooler; variable winds.  
Tuesday's weather: As predicted.

**Sun, Moon and Tides.**

Day.	Sun.	Rises.	Sets.	High Water.	Low Water.
14	4.14	2.25	8.15	8.05	2.35
15	4.14	2.25	8.05	8.05	2.35
16	4.13	2.23	8.05	8.05	2.35
17	4.13	2.23	8.05	8.05	2.35
18	4.13	2.23	8.05	8.05	2.35
19	4.14	2.24	8.07	8.16	2.36
20	4.14	2.24	8.07	8.16	2.36

Six hours after high water it is low tide, which is followed by flood tide.

**CRIMINAL COMMON PEAS COURT**  
Norwich, Wednesday, June 16, 1909.

**Women Keepers of Local Bagnios Go to Jail for Sixty Days—Mahoney Liquor Case Nolle as was Donovan Case—Disposal of Other Cases at New London.**

Giving it as his opinion that Judge Lucius Brown of the Norwich city court is better qualified than he to judge the conditions in Norwich and the penalty they demand, Judge Charles B. Waller in the criminal court of common pleas, which opened at New London Tuesday morning, refused to substitute fines for jail sentences in the cases of Pearl Brown, Nellie Davis, Mary Edmond, Marion Morse and Vinie Nordby (Malady), keepers of houses of ill fame in Norwich, and directed that these women be confined to the New London county jail at Norwich for 60 days each.

The refusal of Judge Waller to set aside Judge Brown's decision that in Norwich women must go to jail came as a sad blow to the women, all of whom were present in court.

The women were arrested March 15 last and expected the customary fine. When the jail sentence was handed down the women were greatly taken aback.

Attorneys John H. Barnes of Norwich and Clayton B. Smith of New London appeared for the women. Mr. Barnes stated that the public sentiment in Norwich does not demand the imprisonment of these women. In Norwich, he said, the women are kept in houses of ill fame and fine them, proprietors being fined \$75 and costs and the women are kept in jail.

Attorney Smith said that there is no class of people more timid of the law than the women in question. Had they been warned that a jail sentence would be the penalty of the next conviction the doors of all the houses in Norwich would have been closed. Mr. Smith thought a fine adequate.

The women were brought here in an automobile by Sheriff Brown about 7:30 o'clock Tuesday evening, and are now at the local jail.

The woman sent to jail under the name of Vinie Nordby is none other than the superior court. The Malady woman was mixed up in the Adelle Griffin case. She is of immature proportions and justified the saying that stout persons are good natured by being easily amused at the sentence. The others were much disturbed and there was some shedding of tears.

James Kirby paid \$25 and costs in the criminal court of common pleas on the charge of maintaining a way of access from his saloon in New London.

Morris Goldberg of New London, charged with receiving stolen goods and concealing the same, was fined \$75 and costs, which was paid.

Kelly Fined \$100.  
Peter B. Kelly was fined \$100 and costs for keeping a house of assignation at 115 Green street, New London.

Continuance in Satti's Case.  
A continuance was ordered in the case of Charles Satti of New London, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

James W. Beckwith, charged with collecting a bill in New London without a license, was fined \$10 and costs and the case was nolle.

Donovan Case Nolle.  
A nolle was entered in the case of Dennis Donovan of Norwich, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

**CRIMINAL COMMON PEAS COURT**  
Norwich, Wednesday, June 16, 1909.

**Women Keepers of Local Bagnios Go to Jail for Sixty Days—Mahoney Liquor Case Nolle as was Donovan Case—Disposal of Other Cases at New London.**

Giving it as his opinion that Judge Lucius Brown of the Norwich city court is better qualified than he to judge the conditions in Norwich and the penalty they demand, Judge Charles B. Waller in the criminal court of common pleas, which opened at New London Tuesday morning, refused to substitute fines for jail sentences in the cases of Pearl Brown, Nellie Davis, Mary Edmond, Marion Morse and Vinie Nordby (Malady), keepers of houses of ill fame in Norwich, and directed that these women be confined to the New London county jail at Norwich for 60 days each.

The refusal of Judge Waller to set aside Judge Brown's decision that in Norwich women must go to jail came as a sad blow to the women, all of whom were present in court.

The women were arrested March 15 last and expected the customary fine. When the jail sentence was handed down the women were greatly taken aback.

Attorneys John H. Barnes of Norwich and Clayton B. Smith of New London appeared for the women. Mr. Barnes stated that the public sentiment in Norwich does not demand the imprisonment of these women. In Norwich, he said, the women are kept in houses of ill fame and fine them, proprietors being fined \$75 and costs and the women are kept in jail.

Attorney Smith said that there is no class of people more timid of the law than the women in question. Had they been warned that a jail sentence would be the penalty of the next conviction the doors of all the houses in Norwich would have been closed. Mr. Smith thought a fine adequate.

The women were brought here in an automobile by Sheriff Brown about 7:30 o'clock Tuesday evening, and are now at the local jail.

The woman sent to jail under the name of Vinie Nordby is none other than the superior court. The Malady woman was mixed up in the Adelle Griffin case. She is of immature proportions and justified the saying that stout persons are good natured by being easily amused at the sentence. The others were much disturbed and there was some shedding of tears.

James Kirby paid \$25 and costs in the criminal court of common pleas on the charge of maintaining a way of access from his saloon in New London.

Morris Goldberg of New London, charged with receiving stolen goods and concealing the same, was fined \$75 and costs, which was paid.

Kelly Fined \$100.  
Peter B. Kelly was fined \$100 and costs for keeping a house of assignation at 115 Green street, New London.

Continuance in Satti's Case.  
A continuance was ordered in the case of Charles Satti of New London, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

James W. Beckwith, charged with collecting a bill in New London without a license, was fined \$10 and costs and the case was nolle.

Donovan Case Nolle.  
A nolle was entered in the case of Dennis Donovan of Norwich, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

**CRIMINAL COMMON PEAS COURT**  
Norwich, Wednesday, June 16, 1909.

**Women Keepers of Local Bagnios Go to Jail for Sixty Days—Mahoney Liquor Case Nolle as was Donovan Case—Disposal of Other Cases at New London.**

Giving it as his opinion that Judge Lucius Brown of the Norwich city court is better qualified than he to judge the conditions in Norwich and the penalty they demand, Judge Charles B. Waller in the criminal court of common pleas, which opened at New London Tuesday morning, refused to substitute fines for jail sentences in the cases of Pearl Brown, Nellie Davis, Mary Edmond, Marion Morse and Vinie Nordby (Malady), keepers of houses of ill fame in Norwich, and directed that these women be confined to the New London county jail at Norwich for 60 days each.

The refusal of Judge Waller to set aside Judge Brown's decision that in Norwich women must go to jail came as a sad blow to the women, all of whom were present in court.

The women were arrested March 15 last and expected the customary fine. When the jail sentence was handed down the women were greatly taken aback.

Attorneys John H. Barnes of Norwich and Clayton B. Smith of New London appeared for the women. Mr. Barnes stated that the public sentiment in Norwich does not demand the imprisonment of these women. In Norwich, he said, the women are kept in houses of ill fame and fine them, proprietors being fined \$75 and costs and the women are kept in jail.

Attorney Smith said that there is no class of people more timid of the law than the women in question. Had they been warned that a jail sentence would be the penalty of the next conviction the doors of all the houses in Norwich would have been closed. Mr. Smith thought a fine adequate.

The women were brought here in an automobile by Sheriff Brown about 7:30 o'clock Tuesday evening, and are now at the local jail.

The woman sent to jail under the name of Vinie Nordby is none other than the superior court. The Malady woman was mixed up in the Adelle Griffin case. She is of immature proportions and justified the saying that stout persons are good natured by being easily amused at the sentence. The others were much disturbed and there was some shedding of tears.

James Kirby paid \$25 and costs in the criminal court of common pleas on the charge of maintaining a way of access from his saloon in New London.

Morris Goldberg of New London, charged with receiving stolen goods and concealing the same, was fined \$75 and costs, which was paid.

Kelly Fined \$100.  
Peter B. Kelly was fined \$100 and costs for keeping a house of assignation at 115 Green street, New London.

Continuance in Satti's Case.  
A continuance was ordered in the case of Charles Satti of New London, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

James W. Beckwith, charged with collecting a bill in New London without a license, was fined \$10 and costs and the case was nolle.

Donovan Case Nolle.  
A nolle was entered in the case of Dennis Donovan of Norwich, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

The case against Michael L. O'Connor, charged with having a saloon leading from his saloon to the dwelling above, was continued.

**THE**  
**Porteous & Mitchell**  
**COMPANY.**

**An**  
**Extraordinary**  
**Sale of**  
**All White**  
**and**  
**All Black**  
**India Lawns**

Ten thousand yards in the lot—and the prices are LESS THAN HALF REGULAR PRICES. As follows:

**White India Lawns**

LOT 1—Plain White India Lawns, 30 inches wide—in short lengths—2 to 15 yards—at 12¢ a yard, value 15¢ and 20¢.

LOT 2—Plain White India Lawns, 30 inches wide—in short lengths—2 to 15 yards—at 12¢ a yard, value 15¢ and 20¢.